

Faulk, Camilla

From: Terwilliger, Bob [Bob.Terwilliger@co.snohomish.wa.us]
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2010 12:37 PM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: Proposed Changes to GR 33

I like the distinction between Proceedings Applicant and Public Applicant. I also like the recognition of local options for handling the process administratively except where a request is denied.

I am wondering if the rule should make it clear that the court's decision on denying the requested accommodation is final?

I think the definition of a public applicant is fine but I think a separate section delineating the rights of a public applicant would be better than the reference to the public applicant in subsection (d). In addition, I believe it should be clear that the public applicant decision is totally administrative without any appeal to a judge when the administrative decision is to deny the request for a public applicant.

It might also be a good idea to have a section that makes it clear that any medical information supplied to support a request accommodation will be sealed.

Bob Terwilliger
Superior/Juvenile Court Administrator
bob.terwilliger@snoco.org
425-388-3798